



# INSPIRE

## A new measure of the recovery orientation of services

Recovery Research Network 21<sup>st</sup> October 2010

Julie Williams

Victoria Bird, Clair Le Boutillier, Mary  
Leamy and Mike Slade (PI)


Section for Recovery

Institute of  
Psychiatry


at The Maudsley

**K**ING'S  
College  
LONDON


# Presentation Outline

- Measuring recovery
  - The role of services in recovery
  - The need for a new measure
  - The new measure - INSPIRE
- 

# The nature of recovery

- Individual
  - Multi-faceted
  - Subjective
  - Different perspectives
- 

# Can we measure Recovery?




# Why measure Recovery?


- For services:

To assess what they are doing to promote recovery

To assess whether this does promote recovery

The bottom right corner of the slide features a decorative graphic of several concentric, light blue circles that resemble ripples on water, set against the solid blue background.

# The role of services in recovery

- People do not need services to recover
  - Services often play some role in people's lives
  - How can services help recovery?
  - How can this be evaluated?
- 

# Systematic review of existing measures

## Criteria for review:

- Assessed services
- Rated by service users
- Provided quantitative data (numbers)
- Had been standardised

# Findings of Review


- 11 measures found
- 6 excluded because:
  - assessed staff competencies only
  - needed trained assessors
  - did not provide quantitative data
  - no details of psychometric testing (2)
  - not available

# Measures identified

5 measures identified:

1. Recovery Self-Assessment
2. DREEM
3. Recovery Oriented System Indicators
4. Recovery Oriented Practices Index
5. Recovery Interventions Questionnaire


# The need for a new measure

- Limitations of existing measures
  - Measure for the UK context
  - Use of REFOCUS Conceptual Framework
  - Individualise measurement
- 

# INSPIRE theoretical underpinning



# Development process


- Used Conceptual Framework as underpinning
  - Collaborative process with service user-researcher
  - Ensure questions able to be answered
  - Consultation process – three consultations
  - Measure ‘team’ or ‘staff’
- 

# Current versions

- Two versions – Team and Staff
- Two sections
  - How individual staff or team support aspects of recovery important to person
  - The way the staff member or team work with you


# Consultation 1

## Consultation with members of LEAP


- Changes from positive statements
  - Changes to some wording of items
  - Issue re: asking about team
  - Overall positive feedback
- 

# Consultation 2

## Refocus on Recovery Conference

- Made shorter-from 30 items to 20
  - Format changed - 'cleaner'
  - Scoring format changed
  - Space for comments added
- 

# Continuing development process

- Consultation
  - Pilot-20 service users
  - Psychometric validation-80 service users
    - validity
    - reliability
    - sensitivity to change
  - Use in RCT
  - Possible use in routine practice
- 



# Contact details

Julie Williams

REFOCUS Project

Section for Recovery

HSPR

Institute of Psychiatry

[Julie.williams@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:Julie.williams@kcl.ac.uk)